

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,930	01/31/2001	Sara H. Basson	YOR920000739US1	5324
7590 07/22/2004			EXAMINER	
William E. Lewis			LEE, MICHAEL	
RYAN, MASO 90 Forest Aven	N & LEWIS, LLP		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Locust Valley,	NY 11560		2614	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-				
•		•					
Office Action Summary	09/774,930	BASSON ET AL.					
omec Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	M. Lee	ith the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	ippears on are cover sneet w	tur die correspondence dudress -	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	May 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Ti	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	g p o, aa o. o. o.o.o.	3					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pi		·· ——					
application from the International Bure	=	3					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	received.					
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 14-26, 28-30, 32-43, 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burkhardt (DE 4435565).

Regarding claim 1, Burkhardt discloses a portable teletext display system showing an obtaining step (1), an autonomously processing step (3), and a providing step (6).

Regarding claims 2, 3, the portable display device is intended to use along any conventional television display device.

Regarding claim 4, teletext information is synchronized with picture information.

Regarding claim 5, teletext or closed caption is provided by a transcription service.

Regarding claim 6, closed caption of a television program is prestored.

Regarding claim 7, closed caption of a television program is generated in real time such as in a live news broadcast.

Regarding claim 8, the closed caption data in television studios are generated either by a stenographer, an automatic speech reorganization system, or a real-time alignment of a prestored transcription.

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 9, closed caption data in Burkhardt are intended to be multilingual. For instance, a television program can have English closed caption while the second audio program (SAP) channel carries a different language closed caption, or a DVD player can provide different closed caption languages to the viewers.

Regarding claim 10, in order to provide a multilingual closed caption service, a translation service is needed. Such service is inherently included when a closed caption is being translated to another language.

Regarding claim 11, the obtained signal in Burkhardt is a television signal.

Regarding claim 12, television signals are intended to present picture signal to viewers, and translation services can translate the audio signal of the television signal into a desired language and presented to the viewers.

Regarding claim 14, Burkhardt shows a wireless link.

Regarding claim 15-26, 28, see the corresponding rejections as set forth above.

Regarding claim 29, Burkhardt shows a communication module (8).

Regarding claim 30, Burkhardt shows a keyboard 7.

Regarding claims 32-43, 45, in addition of above rejections, Burkhardt inherently includes a closed caption service system for transmitting closed caption data.

Regarding claim 46, Burkhardt shows an interface (8).

Regarding claim 47, Burkhardt shows a keyboard (7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2614

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 13, 27, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkhardt (DE 4435565) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Beadles et al. (5,648,789).

Regarding claims 13, 27, and 44, Burkhardt does not specify the head mounted display as claimed. Beadles, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the claimed head mounted display. By using head mounted display, deaf or hearing-impaired people can enjoy television shows, movies, and live shows as normal people do (col. 2, lines 15-61). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to adapt the head mounted display into Burkhardt so that the hearing impaired people can simultaneously watch both the closed caption and the pictures.

5. Claims 31 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkhardt (DE 4435565).

Regarding claims 31 and 48, Burkhardt does not specify the microphone for allowing the user to enter voice instructions to the processing device. The examiner takes Official Notice that using voice recognition system for entering command signals in a computer system is well in the art. This feature frees the user's hand from the computer keyboard, which effectively eliminates physical problems caused by the unnatural typing posture. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2614

the art at the time of the invention was made to include a voice recognition system into Burkhardt to perform the well-known functions as claimed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number is **703-305-4743**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John Miller**, can be reached at **703-305-4795**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

M. Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

July 20, 2004